

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,180	04/01/2004		Satoshi Hamasaki	01-615	6453
23400	7590	11/19/2004		EXAM	INER
POSZ & BE	THARDS, P	MALSAWMA, LALR	MALSAWMA, LALRINFAMKIM HMAR		
11250 ROGE	R BACON DE				
SUITE 10			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON VA 20100				2026	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Un				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,180	HAMASAKI, SATOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lex Malsawma	2825				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	1 April 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers		,				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	pplication No				
application from the International Bur	•	received in this ivational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ' ' '	received.				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040401</u>.</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wensel

(6,001,672).

Regarding claim 1:

Wensel discloses a method of resin-sealing a semiconductor device 210 (Fig. 5) formed

by disposing the undersurface of a semiconductor chip 214 on one side of an island portion of a

lead frame 220 and connecting the surface of the semiconductor chip to lead portions of the lead

frame disposed around the semiconductor chip with plural bonding wires 217, the method

comprising:

disposing the semiconductor chip 214 inside a cavity of a forming die 234 (Fig. 9) and

injecting resin 224 through a gate of the forming die (i.e., region "238" where the encapsulant

material source enters the cavity, see Fig. 9) to seal the semiconductor device with resin in a state

where portions of the lead portions are exposed (note exposed tips of "220" in Fig. 5),

wherein the gate (i.e., region "238" in Fig. 9) of the forming die is disposed only in a

surface of the cavity facing the surface of the semiconductor chip 214 and the resin is injected

through the gate towards the surface of the semiconductor chip. Therefore, this claim is

anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,180

Art Unit: 2825

Regarding claims 2 and 3:

Wensel discloses a support board (216, 228) at the other side of the island portion, wherein the support board will prevent the island portion from being bent by pressure of the resin in the injection direction of the resin during the injection. Wensel discloses the gate is disposed at a face of the cavity that is opposite to the direction in which the surface of the chip faces; accordingly, the resin (224, 238) will be injected through the gate in a direction that is substantially orthogonal to the surface "direction" of the semiconductor chip. In other word, although the gate is not located directly in front of the chip surface, the gate is surely disposed only in a surface of the cavity that faces the surface of the chip; accordingly, resin entering the cavity will be injected at least in a direction orthogonal the surface of the chip, as well as in a direction towards the chip surface. Therefore, these claims are anticipated.

Page 3

Regarding claims 4 and 5:

These claims are similar to claims 1 and 3 except that these claims are directed to the forming die. As detailed above, Wensel discloses all features of the currently claimed forming die; therefore, these claims are anticipated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wensel** (6,001,672) in view of Lemaire et al. (5,417,905; hereinafter, "**Lemaire**").

Regarding claims 6-8:

These claims are similar to claims 1, 2 and 4 (anticipated by Wensel) except that these claims include limitations for an injection mark and its location. Wensel discloses the claimed invention but lacks the limitations with respect to an injection mark. Lemaire teaches that an injection mark 770 commonly results during/after injecting a resin into a mold comprising a cavity and that the top of the injection mark is lower than an end surface device (note Figs 28c-29b and Col. 18, lines 8-12). Although Wensel does not specifically disclose an injection mark, given Lemaire, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wensel by specifically reciting that an injection mark is formed because Lemaire teaches/shows that injection marks (having features as currently claimed) commonly result during a transfermolding process similar to that disclosed by Wensel. Therefore, these claims are held obvious over the cited references.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references listed on the attached Form PTO-892 (note cited above) are cited to show methods and devices having features similar to those of the current invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,180

Art Unit: 2825

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lex Malsawma whose telephone number is 571-272-1903. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8 hours between 5:30AM and 10:00 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lex Malsawma

November 13, 2004

FINS SMITH

PAYENT EXAMINER

LOGY CENTER 2800